

Monash Assistive Technology and Society

Refreshable Tactile Displays for Accessible Data Visualisation



Leona Holloway¹; Peter Cracknell²; Kate Stephens, Melissa Fanshawe³, Samuel Reinders¹, Kim Marriott¹, Matthew Butler¹ ¹Monash University, ²Quantum RLV, ³University of Southern Queensland



Figure 1: Bar graph with multiple pin heights on a

Abstract

Refreshable tactile displays are predicted to soon become a viable option for the provision of accessible graphics for people who are blind or have low vision. This new technology for the tactile display of braille and graphics, usually using raised pins, makes it easier to generate and access a large number of graphics. However, it differs from existing tactile graphics in terms of scale, height and fidelity. Here, we share the perspectives of four key stakeholders to explore the potential uses, advantages and needs relating to the introduction of refreshable tactile displays. We also provide advice on what role the data visualisation community can take to help ensure that people who are blind or have low vision are best able to benefit from the



Figure 2: Scatter plot with line of best fit on a



Stakeholder Perspectives

Stakeholders are excited about refreshable tactile displays offering a fundamentally new kind of tactile graphic experience.

Try it Yourself!



Key Challenges

The effective introduction of refreshable tactile displays must be informed by learnings from data visualization, design guidelines for static tactile graphics, and co-design with

Dynamic content: Animations to show change and movement [1] and real-time data feedback.

Timeliness: Tactile diagrams can be created quickly, displayed immediately, and easily adjusted in response to user feedback or to add more detail once the initial concepts are understood.

User creation: People who are blind or have low vision want to easily create their own visualisations from equations, data, drawing with the finger, or importing existing diagrams [2,3].

Use in schools and the workplace: As

refreshable tactile displays are expensive and heavy they are most likely to be adopted for use in schools and the workplace where they can be used often and in a fixed location.

[Magnatab board to be attached to the poster for hands-on interaction]

Can you create a meaningful data visualisation using a grid of raised dots?

		-							•										
										1.00									
_		_																	
	- E																		
															100				
			 										140						
												iš	-01		-				
		234	10-										1.00		-				
													6.	a a					
						0.0.0			4.1	6.46.4	1.11.1	. 10. 4							
									4.1	1.00		4.4							
					0.0.4					1.00		0.4	- 60					6	
										1.00	1.0.0		40.1					Ê.	
					0.0.0					6.00.1	1.0.0	0.0							
					ila		<u>~ ~</u>	hi		+~	h	~				6 6		. • •	•
			[Z.J		пе	gr	dμ		C	lΟ	D	2		6.6	4.1	6.6		• • •	• •
							•			_				8.6		6 6	••		••
			atta	ched t	to t	'he	n v	09	:†6	r٦د			- 3	6.6		0.0	••	•	••
0.0				CIICUI						~ ' _						0.0			
0.0							10.00	20.25	22.02			10.00	1.00			0.0	••	•	
0.0									••	• • •			•	••	•	0.0	••	• •	
							9.9			• • •			•	• •	•				
									••	• • •			•	• •	•			•	••
														• •	•				
					e.e.				19	1.01.1					•				**
																••	2.2		
											- 12						22		
12.22											- 7						22		
												22		17	-		22		
22												2.5		20	1.1		22		
															-			6	
																	-		
															-	12	Ξ.		

people with lived experience of blindness.

Best-practice tactile visualisation: User testing is required to determine best-practice guidelines for the design of tactile visualisations using a limited grid of dots or pins.

Interactions: Investigate effective interaction methods for zooming and panning [4,5] and combining refreshable tactile displays with speech and sonification.

Content creation: Accessible software and conversion processes are needed so that people who are blind or have low vision can create their own data visualisations.



	- 1		-				-	-							-				-	•		-		-			-	-		-		-		-	-				-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	
						-		-							•												-				-				-	-		-		-	-		-			-		
			•			-	-	-																							-	1.1				-		-	-					0	-		-	
					-		-													-			-		-		-			-			-	•				•	•••		•				-			
					-	Ξ.	- 1					2							-			-	-					-					•	•••		-		•	-						-	0.0		
-								-				-	-																		-					-			-						-		•	
			-								-	-	-		-	20			-					-	•						-		-	-			•••	-					•			•	•	
	-		2	-	-							-	-		-0													-		•	-												-	-		-	-	
	-										-	- 2												-					-											-	- 5		-	-				
						1					-								-			-	-		21					1		- 5	-	-	• •	•	-			-							-	
											-											- 2	-	-	2.2			-	-				-									2.5		-				
			-					-	-	-												-	-	2				-			-	-					-			- 4	-	2.5			-			
								-	-	-	-				-			-				-	-	-	-				- 6							2	•	•	• •		-	•			-	-		
						-	-	-	Ξ.		- 1							-		7.5		-	-	-	-			-				-			-	-		-	• •			-				-		
							н	-		71								-	-	25		0	-	•	÷ 1				•	•		•	•••		•			•				-	•					
	-				-	-	_			-	-		1					-	-			+		-	•	•••			-			-	-		•	• •		-			•	-	۰.			•		
1		-	-	-	-	-	-			-	-		-								-	0	•		•	•			-	-		•	•			•			•	• •			-		•			
JР.					-	-	•			а.												٠	-	٠		• •				•		•					• •				• •		•		•	• •	1	
	-	-	•	-	-	•	-										-						٠	٠	•	•			•	• •			•	•		•			-	-	-				-			
	•	-	•		-		-							. 🗢		-	-	-						•	-	•				•	• •										-	b (-	-		
12	-	-								-					-	-	-							•		æ (-	-	• •		-							-	-					-		
								-			-	-	-		-			-					-		-				-	-			-							-	-							
								-	-			-												-		- 1			-	-			-	-														
							-															-	-	-	-		11						-															
						-		-				-		-									-		Ξ.	-				Ξ.				1			1											
			-				-		-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	21			-		-	-	-	-				-			-	-		2 0	-	-	•					•	-			
-	-	•		٠	-	-	-	-	•			•	•	-		•	-	0			-	-		•	-					-	•	• •		-	-		• •	•	•				•	•	•			
-	ŝ		0							•	•	•	•	•	۰	0	-	-				٠		•		•	•		•		•	• •	. •		-		• •	۰	•	•	•		. 🗢	0	-	-	-	
	-		-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	9		. 0		-	-			-				-	-	•		-	-	•		-	-	-	÷. 4			-	-	-		
	-	-	- 1		-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5 0		-		-		-	-				-	-	-			-	-			-	-	-	-						
							Ξ.			- 6											-	-	-	-	-					-					-	-					-							
										- 6		-		-							1	-		-	_			-			-				-					-	-							
													-	-	-	-					-	-	-	-	-	-					-								-	-	-	-	-	2.5			-	
							1					28		÷ 1	-		-	1.5					-	•	-	•	•				•	-			•••	•	•				•	\bullet	•	-	9,6			
											1.0		т		-		-	2.0			-		•			•	•	•			-	0	-									0	0	0	•			
	-	-			1						1		т	1.	-		-				-	-	-	-	-	-		-			-	-	-	1.0			-	-					-	-		-	-	
14	-		-										•	-							-	-			1							1																
	-	-			-	-	-	-			-										-			-	-	-	-						-				-	-							-		-	
		1	-			-		-	-						-						-	-		-	-	-	-	-			-	-	-	• •			-	-	-	•				0	0	0		
		-	-	-	-			-		-																				1									•	•			5	-				
			-	-					-		-	-		1							-	-		-			-	-	-			-	-	-	-			-		-	-		-					
			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-																							-			-									
				•	•	-		•	•	-		-									0	0	-	-		-	-	-	-			-	-	-	-			-	-	-	-	-		-				

Figure 3 (left): Line graph depicting rainfall (dark blue) and water storage (pale blue) on a Graphiti display. Note that the colours are added for visual clarity – on the refreshable tactile display they are represented through pin height.

Figure 4 (above): Sample tactile graphic produced with a braille embosser. **Figure 5 (right):** Weather heatmap for Australia on a Graphiti refreshable tactile display.

Contact Information

Leona Holloway Department of Human Centred Computing Monash University Email: Leona.Holloway@monash.edu Phone: +61 4204 26823 Website: www.monash.edu/it/hcc/inclusive-technologies

References

- 1. L. Holloway, S. Ananthanarayan, M. Butler, M. De Silva, K. Ellis, C. Goncu, K. Stephens, and K. Marriott. Animations at your fingertips: Using a refreshable tactile display to convey motion graphics for people who are blind or have low vision. In ASSETS International ACM SIGACCESS Conference on Computers and Accessibility. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 2022.
- 2. J. Bornschein, D. Bornschein, and G. Weber. Comparing computer-based drawing methods for blind people with real-time tactile feedback. In CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems. ACM, New York, USA, 2018.
- 3. M. Kobayashi and T. Watanabe. Communication system for the blind using tactile displays and ultrasonic pens mimizu. In ICCHP: International Conference on Computers Helping People with Print Disabilities, pp. 731–738. Springer International, 2004.
- 4. J. Ducasse, M. J.-M. Mace, B. Oriola, and C. Jouffrais. Botmap: Non-visual panning and zooming with an actuated tabletop tangible interface. ACM Transactions on Accessible Computing (TACCESS), 25(4):1–42, 2018. 5. V. L'evesque, G. Petit, A. Dufresne, and V. Hayward. Adaptive level of detail in dynamic, refreshable tactile graphics. In IEEE Haptics Symposium (HAPTICS), pp. 1–5. IEEE, 2014. doi: 10.1109/HAPTIC. 2012.6183752